

EKO-REGIONAL: JURNAL PEMBANGUNAN EKONOMI WILAYAH

Volume 19, Issue 2, September 2024, pp. 152 - 164

https://jp.feb.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/eko-regional/article/view/3786

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32424/1.erjpe.2024.19.2.3786

The Impact of Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA) Development on the Economy in the Special Region of Yogyakarta: Input-Output Analysis

By:

Dian Irsalina Listikarini^{1*)}, Evan Harlan²⁾

¹⁾Directorate General of Taxes, Ministry of Finance

²⁾Directorate General of Customs and Excise, Ministry of Finance

*)Corresponding author: 4122220020_irsa@pknstan.ac.id

Submission: January 26, 2024; Accepted: May 13, 2024

ABSTRACT: One of the infrastructures built to enhance global relations in Yogyakarta is Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA), located in Kulon Progo Regency. The airport is also equipped with supporting infrastructure, namely Airport Train. The government hopes that the construction of YIA will have an impact on the economy, especially the DIY Province, both directly and indirectly. Thus, this study aims to identify the impact of the economic shock of the construction of YIA using the 2016 DIY Province 52 Industry Input-Output tables issued and updated by the Central Bureau of Statistics in May 2021. This study found that the multiplier effect on the economy is greater than economic shocks with the construction sector being the most affected sector with a value of IDR 12.8 trillion. It can be concluded that the construction of YIA and its supporting infrastructure brought a positive impact on the economy of DIY Province due to the increase in economic growth as well as the increase in GRDP and the economy of its society directly.

Keywords: Economic Impact, Input-Output Analysis, Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA)

ABSTRAK: Salah satu infrastruktur yang dibangun untuk meningkatkan hubungan global di Yogyakarta adalah Bandara Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA) yang terletak di Kabupaten Kulon Progo. Bandara ini juga dilengkapi dengan infrastruktur pendukungnya yaitu Kereta Api (KA) Bandara. Pembangunan bandara ini juga menarik investor untuk menanamkan modal usahanya di Kabupaten Kulon Progo. Harapan pemerintah dengan adanya pembangunan Bandara YIA ini tentu dapat berdampak pada perekonomian khususnya Provinsi DIY, baik secara langsung maupun tidak langsung. Oleh karena itu, penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengidentifikasi dampak shock ekonomi pembangunan Bandara YIA dengan menggunakan tabel Input-Output Provinsi DIY 52 Industri Tahun 2016 yang dikeluarkan dan dimutakhirkan oleh Badan Pusat Statistik pada Mei 2021. Penelitian ini menemukan bahwa multiplier effect terhadap perekonomian lebih besar dari shock ekonomi dengan sektor konstruksi yang menjadi sektor paling terpengaruh dengan nilai Rp 12,8 triliun. Sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa pembangunan Bandara YIA dan infrastruktur pendukungnya membawa dampak positif bagi perekonomian Provinsi DIY karena mampu meningkatkan pertumbuhan ekonomi di provinsi DIY melalui peningkatan PDRB serta peningkatan ekonomi masyarakatnya secara langsung.

Kata Kunci: Dampak Ekonomi, Analisis Input-Output, Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA)

INTRODUCTION

Infrastructure has become one of the main focuses of the current Indonesian government. In 2006, the government introduced an infrastructure improvement policy through Minister of Finance Decree No. 38/PMK.01/2006. In addition, to minimize the impact of the global crisis on the national economy, the Indonesian government implemented fiscal stimulus with one of its programs being infrastructure stimulus (Resosudarmo et al., 2021). The government believes that good infrastructure will support economic growth, and it is equally true that higher economic performance requires more infrastructure. In addition, any infrastructure-related projects mostly absorb a lot of labor which in turn can reduce unemployment (Irawan et al., 2012). The statement is not just a government perspective, but has been confirmed by several empirical studies. Aschauer (1989) found that capital accumulation in the public sector increases private sector productivity in the United States. The study showed that basic infrastructure such as roads, airports, mass rapid transportation systems, irrigation, and drainage have a positive and significant causal relationship to productivity levels.

In line with Aschauer's (1989) study, from a spatial perspective, infrastructure planning according to Cutter et al. (2008) began to shift to understanding the importance of interdependence between sectors in different regions. Providing facilities provided by various infrastructures is a positive externality that can increase the productivity of all inputs in the production process. This positive externality of infrastructure is a spillover effect of increased private sector production that occurs without having to increase labor capital or improve technology.

The Special Region of Yogyakarta (DIY) as a cultural and educational city needs infrastructure that can be used to support economic growth, especially the tourism sector. The availability of infrastructure such as roads, ports, airports, electricity supply systems, irrigation, clean water supply systems, sanitation, and others which are social overhead capital has a very strong relationship with regional growth rates. As in previous research, Kumari and Sharma (2017) found that infrastructure contributes positively and significantly to India's economic growth. Other studies conducted by Maparu & Mazumder (2017) and Saidi et al. (2018) identified a positive correlation between infrastructure and economic growth. Infrastructure will indirectly affect economic growth through households (through increased welfare) and firms (through reduced costs and market expansion) which will then jointly affect economic growth (Suparmono, 2017).

One of the infrastructures built to improve global linkages in Yogyakarta is Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA). Before YIA was built, Yogyakarta already had Adi Sutjipto International Airport. However, due to limited capacity, limited import-export transportation activities, and the location in the middle of a settlement, YIA needs to be built (Pradana, 2021). With the construction of a new growth center in the form of an airport far outside the capital city, it is hoped that the area will be able to develop so as to produce equity. The concept of airport development in Kulon Progo is expected to create a new center of growth and development in Kulon Progo Regency and be able to encourage the development of the surrounding area. The existence of this airport encourages the area to build hotels, hospitals, shopping centers, and other facilities (Suparmono, 2017). This is considering that Kulon Progo Regency is also the poorest region in Java (Ndaru & Kurniawan, 2016).

Based on Suparmono (2017), the construction of YIA access is estimated to require IDR 5.087 trillion. Not only road support, to improve access to YIA the government is also planning railway development. The result of that study is that the biggest economic growth impact of YIA development is on the building construction sector by 77.62%, goods from the cement industry by 44.79%, and other goods from the metal industry by 37.73%. The YIA development project will provide an additional GRDP of IDR 5,180,676.24 million. The largest economic output impact is generated by the civil building and building construction sector by 75.34%, followed by the retail trade sector other than cars/motorcycles by 2.63%, and other metal goods industry by 1.95%. The YIA development project will increase economic output by IDR 12,407,424.75 million. The sectors that increase the largest household income receipts are the civil building and construction and building construction sectors by 68.49%, the wholesale and retail trade sector other than cars/motorcycles by 4.73%, and the food and beverage supply sector by 2.42%. The YIA development project will increase household income by IDR 1,712,211.01 million.

There have been many studies with various methods conducted to analyze the impact of YIA development, but there are still few that use input output analysis (I-O analysis) to measure the economic impact of government spending on YIA development and related infrastructure. Only a study by Suparmono (2017) used input output analysis (I-O analysis), but unfortunately the study did not explain the details of the direct and indirect impacts of the development of YIA itself and its supporting infrastructure. The total value of the monetary impact of government spending is also still an estimated figure, considering that when the study was conducted YIA was still under construction. Suparmono's (2017) research also did not provide information on the value of the multiplier impact of government spending on various economic sectors. In fact, it is important to know the relationship between the multiplier effect and government spending in order to obtain the value of the benefits of YIA development.

To update previous research and provide more comprehensive information about the economic impact of YIA development, this research is important to conduct. This study aims to see the impact of government spending and investment on the construction of YIA and its supporting infrastructure, so this study uses all government spending data related to the construction of YIA and its supporting infrastructure and investment in sectors related to the construction of this new airport.

Several studies have been conducted related to the impact of YIA development. Ayuningtyas (2022) analyzed the socio-economic impact of the construction of Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA) for family heads in Glagah Kulon Progo Village using a qualitative approach with a case study method. The results showed that the existence of YIA did not have too much impact on the social and economic life of family heads in Glagah Village as seen from social and economic changes before and after the construction of YIA did not experience significant changes. The shortcoming of this research is that the number of respondents interviewed was only 8 respondents. The scope of the research also tends to be narrow, only capturing conditions in one village.

With the same method as Ayuningtyas' research (2022), Susanto (2020) conducted research with a broader scope, namely, to analyze the socio-economic impact of the construction of YIA Airport in Kulonprogo Regency. In conclusion, the development of YIA from the economic aspect shows a positive impact from the growth of economic activities such as hotels, restaurants, catering businesses, housing, rentals, and boarding houses.

Research with other methods, namely descriptive quantitative using secondary data in the form of panel data, has also been conducted by Fatimah & Rahayu (2023). The research was conducted to determine the effect of YIA Airport development on the economic growth of districts / cities in DIY Province. The result is that each of the unemployment rate, poverty rate, and Regional Original Income (PAD) have a significant influence on economic growth. The shortcomings of this study are that the independent variables used do not describe the effect of YIA development because in the variables there are still many factors that affect the unemployment rate, poverty rate, and PAD in DIY which cannot be justified directly due to YIA development.

There are not many studies that use the Input-Output table analysis method related to YIA development. Suparmono (2017) used I-O analysis to analyze the impact of Yogyakarta International Airport on economic growth in Yogyakarta. The result of the study was that the biggest economic growth impact of YIA development was on the building construction sector by 77.62%, goods from the cement industry by 44.79%, and other goods from the metal industry by 37.73%. The YIA development project will provide an additional GRDP of IDR 5,180,676.24 million. The largest economic output impact is generated by the civil building and building construction sector by 75.34%, followed by the retail trade sector other than cars/motorcycles by 2.63%, and other metal goods industry by 1.95%. The YIA development project will increase economic output by IDR 12,407,424.75 million. The sectors that increase the largest household income receipts are the civil building and construction and building construction sectors by 68.49%, the wholesale and retail trade sector other than cars/motorcycles by 4.73%, and the food and beverage supply sector by 2.42%. The YIA development project will increase household income by IDR 1,712,211.01 million.

The shortcoming of Suparmono's (2017) research is that it does not explain in detail the direct and indirect impacts of the YIA development and its supporting infrastructure. The data presented related to the shock value of government spending related to the construction of YIA is also still in the

form of estimated figures. Suparmono's research (2017) also does not provide information on the value of the multiplier impact of government spending on various economic sectors. Whereas it is important to know the relationship between the multiplier effect and government spending in order to obtain the value of the benefits of YIA development.

With different objects, research by Harjanto & Woyanti (2019) was conducted to analyze the extent of the role of Ahmad Yani Airport infrastructure development in the economy of Central Java Province. With the Input-Output analysis method using the Microsoft Excel program and the interview method with the Regional Revenue Agency of Central Java, linkage analysis, impact analysis, and multiplier analysis were carried out. The data used are secondary data derived from the 2013 Input-Output Table of Central Java Province with a classification of 88 sectors and primary data derived from interviews with the Regional Revenue Agency of Central Java. From the analysis conducted, the building sector which represents the existence of the airport in the I-O Table of Central Java Province in 2013 could increase the demand for output from other sectors which will be used as inputs in economic activities, which means that the building sector encourages growth in upstream sectors. Based on the investment simulation conducted, the construction of Ahmad Yani International Airport can increase the output and household income of the community.

Based on existing previous research, this research is replication research of Harjanto & Woyanti's (2019) by changing the object of research with the construction of YIA Airport. However, the substance to be known from this study is almost the same as Harjanto & Woyanti's (2019) research, namely, to determine the effect of shock government spending and investment, in this case related to the construction of YIA Airport coupled with its supporting infrastructure on economic growth in Yogyakarta Special Region Province.

METHODS

This research is a quantitative study using the Input-Output Table of DIY Province Domestic Transactions at Producer Prices (52 Industries), 2016 in million rupiah updated and issued by the Central Bureau of Statistics (BPS) of DIY Province on May 31, 2021, to determine the impact of YIA Airport development on the economy in DIY. The data in this study were obtained from government expenditure data available online in the financial report documents of PT Angkasa Pura I and the Ministry of Finance for YIA Airport infrastructure support projects such as the YIA Airport Train. The analysis of this research is divided into two major parts, namely Input Output analysis and multiplier analysis.

The analysis begins with the Input Output analysis of the I-O Table according to BPS. In the input output analysis method, several analyses are used to calculate inter-sectoral linkages and calculate the magnitude of the multiplier effect of a sector.

To provide an overview of inter-sectoral linkages, linkage analysis can be used. Linkages consist of direct and indirect forward linkages and direct and indirect backward linkages. Forward linkage provides information on the degree of linkage between a sector that produces outputs that are used as inputs by other sectors. While backward linkage is used to see the degree of linkage of a sector that supplies inputs for the sector under study.

Direct forward linkages show the effect of a particular sector on sectors that use part of the sector's output directly per unit increase in total demand. This linkage is formulated as follows:

$$F(d)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$$

Which: $F(d)_i$

 a_{ii}

= direct forward linkage of I sector = technical coefficient matrix element

n = number of sectors

Direct and indirect forward linkages show the effect of a particular sector on the sectors that use the output of that sector directly or indirectly per unit increase in total demand. This linkage is formulated as follows:

$$F(d+i)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}$$

Which:

 $F(d+i)_i$ = direct and indirect forward linkage of I sector

 b_{ii} = Leontief inverse matrix element

n = number of sectors

Direct backward linkages show the effect of a particular sector on sectors that provide intermediate inputs to that sector directly per unit increase in total demand. This linkage is formulated as follows:

$$B(d)_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}$$

Which:

 $B(d)_j$ = direct backward linkage of I sector a_{ij} = technical coefficient matrix element

n = number of sectors

Direct and indirect backward linkages show the effect of a particular sector on sectors that provide intermediate inputs to that sector directly or indirectly per unit increase in total demand. This linkage is formulated as follows:

$$B(d+i)_j = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij}$$

Which:

 $B(d+i)_i$ = direct and indirect backward linkage of I sector

 b_{ij} = technical coefficient matrix element

n = number of sectors

What is meant by multiplier is that an increase in the activity of a sector will increase the activity of that sector or other sectors by the value of the multiplier. The multiplier analysis conducted in this study is the output multiplier analysis. The number obtained from the output multiplier can estimate the changes in exogenous factors on the output of sectors in the economy. The larger the output multiplier number, the more important the sector's role in economic output. The sector that can provide a large output multiplier number can be called the leading sector in the economy.

Then to see the impact or contribution of YIA Airport development, further analysis is carried out by multiplying the output multiplier value with the shock of government spending from the APBN and PT Angkasa Pura I and the realization of investment in Kulon Progo Regency. With these results, it can be seen how much impact occurs on other sectors from a shock to government spending and investment for the construction of YIA Airport.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In examining the impact of YIA Airport development, the shock is divided into several main parts, namely government spending on airport development, government spending on airport supporting infrastructure development, and investment realization in Kulon Progo Regency after YIA Airport. The available data for these shocks are not broken down by type of program, type of activity, or type of expenditure by sector or industry. Therefore, the author transforms the data obtained from related sources to be poured into industry categories according to BPS.

Government expenditures calculated as an economic shock in this study are all government expenditures for fiscal years from 2018 to 2022, both those included in the category of government expenditures and investment realization for the construction of YIA Airport. Data for airport supporting infrastructure development costs in the form of trains were obtained from the Coordinating Ministry for Economic Affairs. For PMDN investment realization data obtained from the Kulon Progo Regency

Investment and Integrated Services Office. While data related to airport development is obtained from the Financial Statements of PT Angkasa Pura I. An overview of government spending and investment realization on the impact of YIA Airport development is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Economic Shocks of YIA Airport Development (in million rupiah)

	Table 1: Economic Shocks of YIA Airport Development (in million rupiah)		
No.	Type of Expenditure	Sector/Industry	Economy Shock
	Construction cost of the YIA Elevated		
1.	Airport Railway		
	- Tracks		
	- Bridges		
	 Building & Mechanical 	Construction	1.247.136
	Engineering of Kedundang		
	Station		
	- Signals		
	 Telecommunications 		
2.	Procurement cost of YIA Airport train	Pail Transportation	700.000
۷.	facilities (4 trainsets)	Rail Transportation	700.000
3.	Cost of land acquisition for the	Construction	50.000
э.	construction of YIA Airport Railway	Construction	50.000
	Domestic Investment Realization per		
	Sector in Kulon Progo Regency in 2019		
	& 2020:		
4.	 Construction 	Construction	1.379
	 Hospitality and Restaurant 	Provision Accommodation	6.087
	 Housing, Industrial Estates 	Real Estate	28.522
	and Offices		
5.	Domestic Investment Realization per		
	Sector in Kulon Progo Regency in		
	2021:		
	 Construction 	Construction	815
	 Hospitality and Restaurant 	Provision Accommodation	375.365
	 Housing, Industrial Estates 	Real Estate	30.919
	and Offices		
6.	Domestic Investment Realization per		
	Sector in Kulon Progo Regency in		
	2022:		
	 Construction 	Construction	45
	 Hospitality and Restaurant 	Provision Accommodation	138.160
	 Housing, Industrial Estates 	Real Estate	1.208
	and Offices		
7.	YIA Airport land acquisition	Construction	4.136.368
8.	Installation of YIA Airport land	Construction	29.303
0.	boundary fence	Construction	23.303
	Preparatory Work (clearing and		
9.	grubbing, regular excavation, backfill	Construction	74.050
	with local materials)		
10.	Soil improvement with dynamic	Construction	411.951
IU.	compaction	Constituction	711.931
11.	YIA Airport infrastructure	Construction	6.138.506
	development work	2011301 4001011	3.130.300

Source: Processed by the authors (2023)

In the construction of YIA Airport, the government through PT Angkasa Pura I spent a total cost of IDR 10,790,180,440,000. As for the supporting infrastructure project in the form of the Airport Train, the government spent a total development for construction and procurement of railroad facilities of IDR 1,997,136,000,000. And for the realization of PMDN in Kulon Progo Regency, industries that may be affected by the construction of YIA Airport are the construction sector, hotels and restaurants, as well as housing, industrial estates and offices. This industry estimate is based on previous research by Susanto (2020) where the construction of YIA had a positive impact on economic activities such as hotels, restaurants, catering businesses, housing, rentals, and boarding houses. The realization of PMDN in Kulon Progo Regency for the construction, accommodation provision, and real estate sectors from 2019 to 2022 was IDR 582,505,615,400. Thus, the total economic shock used to measure the impact of the YIA Airport development is IDR 13,369,822,055,400.

From Table 1, it is known that the largest economic shock in the construction of YIA Airport is for the construction work of YIA Airport itself with a value of 45.91% of the total economic shock. The second largest government expenditure is for land acquisition with a value of 30.94% of the total economic shock. And the third largest expenditure is for construction costs for the construction of the elevated route Airport Train with a percentage of 9.33% of the total economic shock. So, from the total economic shock, it will add the most output to the construction sector. While the contribution of PMDN investment realization in related sectors only provides a value of 4.36% of the total economic shock.

After obtaining the economic shock value of the YIA airport construction, this shock value is then used to calculate the indirect impact of the shock on an industrial sector in the I-O¬ table of Yogyakarta Province for 52 Industries. The calculation of this indirect impact is obtained from the multiplication between the Leontief inverse matrix and the economic shock illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2: Indirect Impact of YIA Airport Development on 52 Industries in Kulon Progo Regency (in million rupiah)

No.	Sector/Industry	Shock	Indirect Impact
1.	Food Crop Agriculture	-	44.247
2.	Agriculture Annual Horticulture, Annual Horticulture, and Others	-	13.066
3.	Annual and Seasonal Plantation	-	3.825
4.	Livestock	-	27.720
5.	Agricultural and Hunting Services	-	0
6.	Forestry and Logging	-	68.118
7.	Fisheries	-	12.486
8.	Oil, Gas and Geothermal Mining	-	0
9.	Coal and Lignite Mining	-	0
10.	Metal Ore Mining	-	0
11.	Other Mining and Quarrying	-	382.729
12.	Coal and Oil and Gas Refining Industry	-	0
13.	Food and Beverage Industry	-	118.338
14.	Tobacco Processing Industry	-	0,39
15.	Textile and Apparel Industry	-	3.276
16.	Leather, Leather Goods and Footwear Industry	-	854
17.	Wood, Wood and Cork Products and Wickerwork of Bamboo, Rattan and the Like Industry	-	279.931
18.	Paper and Paper Goods, Printing and Recording Media Reproduction Industry	-	32.339
19.	Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Traditional Medicine Industry	-	6.302
20.	Rubber, Rubber and Plastic Goods Industry	-	20.611

No.	Sector/Industry	Shock	Indirect Impact
21.	Non-Metallic Excavated Goods Industry	-	415.099
22.	Basic Metal Industry	_	0
	Manufacture of Metal Goods, Computers,		
23.	Electronic Goods, Optical and Electrical	-	3.192
	Equipment		
24.	Machinery and Equipment Industry YTDL	-	17.501
25.	Transportation Equipment Industry	-	1,43
26.	Furniture Industry	-	96.972
	Other Manufacturing Industries, Machinery		
27.	and Equipment Repair and Installation	_	16.143
	Services		
28.	Electricity	_	122.666
29.	Gas Procurement and Ice Production	_	1.351
	Water Supply, Waste Management, Waste		
30.	and Recycling	-	3.588
31.	Construction	12.089.557	12.830.516
32.	Trade in Cars, Motorcycles and Reparations	-	120.506
	Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and		
33.	Motorcycles	-	775.397
34.	Rail Transportation	700.000	701.276
35.	Land Transportation	-	248.580
36.	Sea Transportation	-	0
37.	River, Lake and Ferry Transportation	-	23,58
38.	Air Transportation	-	158.648
20	Warehousing and Supporting Services for		00.404
39.	Transportation, Post and Couriers	-	80.401
40.	Accommodation Provision	519.614	527.039
41.	Provision of Drinking Food	-	282.923
42.	Information and Communication Services	-	174.655
40	Financial Intermediary Services Other than		475 200
43.	Central Banks	-	175.398
44.	Insurance and Pension Funds	-	6.444
45.	Other Financial Services	-	17.051
46.	Financial Support Services	-	66,57
47.	Real Estate	60.651	238.348
48.	Company Services	-	119.627
49.	Government Administration, Defence and		0.000
	Compulsory Social Security	-	9.098
50.	Education Services	-	6.003
51.	Health and Social Services	-	17.889
52.	Other Services	-	41.004
	Total		18.221.248
	Source: Processed by th	o authors (2022)	

Source: Processed by the authors (2023)

Based on Table 2, the total value of indirect impacts obtained from the construction of YIA Airport and its supporting infrastructure is Rp 18,221,247,705,220. This result shows that the total value of the indirect impact is greater than the total economic shock given to the construction of YIA Airport. From the calculation results, the construction sector is the sector most affected by the economic shock on the construction of YIA Airport. While other sectors that also get the next biggest impact are the industry sector of Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and Motorcycles with an indirect impact of Rp 775,397,054,936 or around 5.93% of the total initial output before the construction of YIA Airport.

For the analysis of inter-sectoral linkages as shown in Table 3, the Food and Beverage Industry sector has the highest level of attraction with an index of 1.323 among 52 other industrial sectors in DIY Province. This means that in producing output, the Food and Beverage Industry sector is able to attract various kinds of resources or inputs from other available sectors to encourage the sector's output production activities to increase. While the Oil, Gas and Geothermal Mining sector, Coal and Lignite Mining sector, Basic Metal Industry, and Sea Transportation have the lowest level of backward linkage with a value of 0.7951 because there are no outputs or inputs in the sector in DIY Province.

Table 3: Backward Linkages Analysis

Table 3: Backward Linkages Analysis			
No.	Sector/Industry	Total Backward Linkage Index	
1.	Food Crop Agriculture	0.851492	
2.	Agriculture Annual Horticulture, Annual	0.866504	
۷.	Horticulture, and Others		
3.	Annual and Seasonal Plantation	0.864299	
4.	Livestock	1.085390	
5.	Agricultural and Hunting Services	0.843357	
6.	Forestry and Logging	0.824340	
7.	Fisheries	0.891064	
8.	Oil, Gas and Geothermal Mining	0.795070	
9.	Coal and Lignite Mining	0.795070	
10.	Metal Ore Mining	0.890234	
11.	Other Mining and Quarrying	0.983093	
12.	Coal and Oil and Gas Refining Industry	0.893518	
13.	Food and Beverage Industry	1.323434	
14.	Tobacco Processing Industry	0.878132	
15.	Textile and Apparel Industry	1.188233	
16.	Leather, Leather Goods and Footwear	1.225767	
	Industry Wood Wood and Cork Broducts and		
17.	Wood, Wood and Cork Products and Wickerwork of Bamboo, Rattan and the Like	1.121552	
17.	Industry	1.121552	
	Paper and Paper Goods, Printing and		
18.	Recording Media Reproduction Industry	1.045139	
	Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Traditional		
19.	Medicine Industry	1.102787	
20.	Rubber, Rubber and Plastic Goods Industry	1.007104	
21.	Non-Metallic Excavated Goods Industry	1.065820	
22.	Basic Metal Industry	0.795070	
_ _ -	Manufacture of Metal Goods, Computers,		
23.	Electronic Goods, Optical and Electrical	0.978647	
	Equipment		
24.	Machinery and Equipment Industry YTDL	0.965501	
25.	Transportation Equipment Industry	1.015964	
26.	Furniture Industry	1.087129	
	Other Manufacturing Industries, Machinery		
27.	and Equipment Repair and Installation	1.042614	
	Services		
28.	Electricity	1.210335	
29.	Gas Procurement and Ice Production	1.117495	
20	Water Supply, Waste Management, Waste	0.002672	
30.	and Recycling	0.982672	
31.	Construction	1.091048	
32.	Trade in Cars, Motorcycles and Reparations	0.932455	

No.	Sector/Industry	Total Backward Linkage Index
33.	Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and Motorcycles	0.990066
34.	Rail Transportation	0.997239
35.	Land Transportation	0.970974
36.	Sea Transportation	0.795070
37.	River, Lake and Ferry Transportation	0.814688
38.	Air Transportation	1.161391
39.	Warehousing and Supporting Services for Transportation, Post and Couriers	1.083620
40.	Accommodation Provision	1.039598
41.	Provision of Drinking Food	1.216380
42.	Information and Communication Services	1.073410
43.	Financial Intermediary Services Other than Central Banks	0.922382
44.	Insurance and Pension Funds	0.928957
45.	Other Financial Services	0.942483
46.	Financial Support Services	0.897027
47.	Real Estate	0.966525
48.	Company Services	1.082284
49.	Government Administration, Defense and Compulsory Social Security	1.277364
50.	Education Services	0.986439
51.	Health and Social Services	1.063960
52.	Other Services	1.029816

Source: Processed by the authors (2023)

For forward linkages, shown in Table 4 that the one that has the greatest thrust in improving the economy in DIY Province is through the Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and Motorcycles sector with an index of 1.84. This means that every activity of wholesale and retail trade, not cars and motorcycles is able to encourage other sectors to further increase their output. After the Wholesale and Retail Trade, Non-Car and Motorcycle sector, the largest forward linkages index is followed by the Air Transportation sector (1.72), Information and Communication Services sector (1.625), Food and Beverage Industry (1.56), Land Transportation sector (1.451), Electricity industry (1.45), and Financial Intermediary Services Other Than Central Banks (1.369).

Table 4: Forward Linkages Analysis

No.	Sector/Industry	Total Index of Forward Linkages
1.	Food Crop Agriculture	1.260926
2.	Agriculture Annual Horticulture, Annual Horticulture, and Others	0.871578
3.	Annual and Seasonal Plantation	0.968869
4.	Livestock	0.968018
5.	Agricultural and Hunting Services	0.795070
6.	Forestry and Logging	1.100057
7.	Fisheries	0.851153
8.	Oil, Gas and Geothermal Mining	0.795070
9.	Coal and Lignite Mining	0.795070
10.	Metal Ore Mining	0.795070
11.	Other Mining and Quarrying	0.995735
12.	Coal and Oil and Gas Refining Industry	0.795070
13.	Food and Beverage Industry	1.559803
14.	Tobacco Processing Industry	0.800545

No.	Sector/Industry	Total Index of Forward Linkages
15.	Textile and Apparel Industry	0.979850
16.	Leather, Leather Goods and Footwear Industry	0.956603
	Wood, Wood and Cork Products and	
17.	Wickerwork of Bamboo, Rattan and the Like	0.908021
	Industry	
10	Paper and Paper Goods, Printing and Recording	0.030505
18.	Media Reproduction Industry	0.930595
40	Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Traditional	0.004700
19.	Medicine Industry	0.831702
20.	Rubber, Rubber and Plastic Goods Industry	0.908312
21.	Non-Metallic Excavated Goods Industry	0.865329
22.	Basic Metal Industry	0.795070
	Manufacture of Metal Goods, Computers,	
23.	Electronic Goods, Optical and Electrical	0.797880
	Equipment	5.75.7505
24.	Machinery and Equipment Industry YTDL	0.819817
25.	Transportation Equipment Industry	0.795075
26.	Furniture Industry	0.848955
	Other Manufacturing Industries, Machinery and	
27.	Equipment Repair and Installation Services	0.823663
28.	Electricity	1.450485
20. 29.	Gas Procurement and Ice Production	0.795830
	Water Supply, Waste Management, Waste and	0.755650
30.	Recycling	0.812429
31.	Construction	1.021777
32.	Trade in Cars, Motorcycles and Reparations	1.005442
32.	Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and	1.003442
33.	Motorcycles	1.839675
34.	Rail Transportation	0.800773
34. 35.	Land Transportation	1.451350
35. 36.	Sea Transportation	0.795070
30. 37.	·	0.795070 0.795169
	River, Lake and Ferry Transportation	
38.	Air Transportation	1.720021
39.	Warehousing and Supporting Services for	1.095541
40	Transportation, Post and Couriers	0.057474
40.	Accommodation Provision	0.857471
41.	Provision of Drinking Food	1.192465
42.	Information and Communication Services	1.625256
43.	Financial Intermediary Services Other than	1.369419
	Central Banks	0.040304
44.	Insurance and Pension Funds	0.819284
45.	Other Financial Services	0.954948
46.	Financial Support Services	0.798518
47.	Real Estate	1.295435
48.	Company Services	1.237006
49.	Government Administration, Defense and	0.911428
	Compulsory Social Security	
50.	Education Services	0.851460
51.	Health and Social Services	0.882395
52.	Other Services Source: Processed by the auth	1.008448

Source: Processed by the authors (2023)

Based on the results of the input-output analysis, the construction of YIA Airport will increase economic growth in DIY Province through the impact of direct and indirect economic shocks. The direct impact obtained from the construction of YIA Airport and its supporting infrastructure is Rp 13,369,822,055,400 and produces an indirect impact of Rp 18,221,247,705,220. The indirect impact is greater that the direct impact because there is a multiplier effect as each sector depends on inputs from other sectors to produce its own output. This will increase the demand for inputs from other sectors, creating a chain reaction of increased production and economic activity. If summed up, the total impact of the construction of YIA Airport is IDR 31,591,069,760,620. Judging from the implications for the regional finances of DIY Province, the construction of YIA Airport and its infrastructure should be able to increase the GRDP of DIY Province by the total of direct and indirect impacts. In addition, the construction of this airport has an impact on improving the community's economy directly through land acquisition because the compensation provided by PT Angkasa Pura I is valued above the average actual price (Azizah, 2018).

The largest economic output impact of the construction of YIA Airport is generated by the construction sector itself because the focus of this project is the construction of facilities & infrastructure and airport-related infrastructure. The next largest output impact is the Wholesale and Retail Trade sector, Not Cars and Motorcycles, this result is in accordance with previous research by Suparmono (2017) where this sector also produces the second largest output impact after the civil building and building construction sector. This result is also supported by research by Nugraha (2019) where 3 out of a total of 5 regencies/cities in DIY Province have leading sectors in the wholesale and retail trade sector, namely in Bantul Regency, Gunungkidul Regency, and Kulonprogo Regency. So, the construction of YIA Airport has succeeded in maximizing the potential of the leading sectors in DIY Province.

The Food and Beverage Industry in DIY Province also has a significant impact in attracting other inputs. This is a positive thing for the economy in DIY Province, supported by data from the DIY Provincial Development Planning Agency that there are 47,127 business units engaged in the food industry ranging from small industries to large industries in 2020 which continues to increase from 39,335 industries in 2018 and 36,637 small to large industries in 2017. In addition to being one of the leading sectors of the 3 districts in DIY Province, it turns out that the Wholesale and Retail Trade, Not Cars and Motorcycles sector is the sector that contributes the most forward linkages or in other words can encourage the provision of output for other sector inputs.

CONCLUSION

Research on the impact of YIA Airport development using Input-Output analysis concluded that the Construction sector is the sector most affected by the economic shock in the form of government spending and investment in the construction of YIA Airport and its supporting infrastructure with an indirect impact value of Rp 12,830,516,173,011 or 55.99% of the total initial output and a direct impact of Rp 12,089,557,080,000. So that in total, the impact received by the Construction sector is IDR 24,920,073,248,011. Furthermore, the Wholesale and Retail Trade sector, Not Cars and Motorcycles is ranked second with an indirect impact of IDR 775,397,054,936 or around 5.93% of the total initial output before the construction of YIA Airport. Meanwhile, the Coal and Oil and Gas Refining Industry is the least affected sector by the construction of YIA Airport and its infrastructure in addition to sectors that have no input or output in Yogyakarta Province.

Overall, the construction of YIA Airport has a positive impact on the economy in Yogyakarta Province. The direct impact of the YIA Airport development project along with its supporting infrastructure and related sector investments in Kulon Progo Regency on the economy of Yogyakarta Province is 13,369,822,055,400 and produces an indirect impact of Rp 18,221,247,705,220 which shows the multiplier effect of the economic shock. This suggests that investment in infrastructure projects like airports can be effective in boosting regional economic growth. The multiplier effect of YIA Airport project also highlights the importance of continued investment in supporting infrastructure, such as roads, utilities, and public services. These investments are crucial for maximizing the positive economic impacts of major projects.

Nevertheless, this study has some limitations, particularly related to the calculation of economic shocks. The calculation of the economic shock does not consider the details of the allocated budget whether it is entirely used in one sector or divided into other sectors. This study also did not aggregate 52 sectors/industries. Thus, it is suggested that future research can obtain more precise economic shock calculation data and can perform aggregation of 52 sectors/industries to group more homogeneous industries to obtain more precise and detailed results.

REFERENCES

- Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is Public Expenditure Productive? Journal of Monetary Economics, 23, 177-200. Ayuningtyas, W. (2022). Analisis Dampak Sosial Ekonomi Pembangunan Bandar Udara Internasional Yogyakarta (YIA) Bagi Kepala Keluarga Di Desa Glagah Kulon Progo. Jurnal Kewarganegaraan Vol. 6 No. 1 Juni 2022.
- Azizah, N. (2018). Dampak Sosial Ekonomi Pembebasan Lahan Pembangunan Bandar Udara (New Yogyakarta International Airport). Politika: Jurnal Ilmu Politik. 8. 76. 10.14710/politika.8.2.2017.76-91.
- Cutter, S. L., Barnes, L., Berry, M., Burton, C., Evans, E., Tate, E. & Webb, J. (2008). A placebased model for understanding community resilience to natural disasters. Global Environmental Change. 18. 598–606.
- Fatimah, S. S. & Rahayu, A. (2023). Pengaruh Pembangunan Bandara Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA) Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi D.I. Yogyakarta 2017-2022. Inisiatif: Jurnal Ekonomi, Akuntansi Dan Manajemen, 2(2), 105–120. https://doi.org/10.30640/inisiatif.v2i2.727.
- Harjanto, I. D. & Woyanti, N. (2019). Strategi Kebijakan Pengembangan Infrastruktur Bandara Ahmad Yani dan Dampaknya Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Jawa Tengah. BISECER (Business Economic Entrepreneurship) Volume II No. 2, Juli 2019.
- Irawan, T., Hartono, D., Irawan, F. & Yusuf, A. A. (2012). Infrastructure Improvement and Its Impacts on the Indonesian Economic Performance. Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business, vol. 27, no. 3, Sep. 2012.
- Kumari, A. & Sharma, A. K. (2017). Physical & Social Infrastructure in India & Its Relationship with Economic Development. World Development Perspectives, 5, 30-33. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2017.02.005.
- Maparu, T. S. & Mazumder, T. N. (2017). Transport Infrastructure, Economic Development and Urbanization in India (1990-2011): Is There Any Causal Relationship? Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 100, 319-336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.04.033.
- Ndaru, F. A. & Kurniawan, T. (2016). Corporate Social Responsibility Partnership to Alleviate Poverty in Kulon Progo Regency. Bisnis & Birokrasi Journal. 22. 10.20476/jbb.v22i3.6436.
- Nugraha, A. A. (2019). Analisis Sektor Unggulan Untuk Mendorong Pembangunan di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. Undergraduate Thesis, UNDIP Vokasi.
- Pradana, F. I. (2021). Local Wisdom in Yogyakarta International Airport. International Journal of Creative and Arts Studies. 8. 71-88. 10.24821/ijcas.v8i1.4479.
- Resosudarmo, B. P., Abdurohman, Yusuf, A. A. & Hartono, D. (2021). Spatial Impacts of Fiscal Stimulus Policies During The 2009 Global Financial Crisis in Indonesia. Asia Pac J Reg Sci 5, 305-326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41685-020-00176-2.
- Saidi, S., Shahbaz, M. & Akhtar, P. (2018). The Long-Run Relationship Between Transport Energy Consumption, Transport Infrastructure, and Economic Growth in MENA Countries. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 111, 78-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2018.03.013.
- Suparmono. (2017). The Impact of New Yogyakarta International Airport (NYIA) Development for Economic Growth in Special Region of Yogyakarta. Telaah Bisnis, Volume 18, Nomor 2, 107-118.
- Susanto, H. (2020). Analisis Dampak Sosial Ekonomi dalam Pembangunan Bandara Yogyakarta International Airport (YIA) di Kabupaten Kulonprogo. Majalah Ilmiah Bijak Vol. 17, No. 1, Maret 2020, pp. 1-9.